IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: L.A. DICKENS et al.					Exam	niner: Ernes	t Unelus		
Serial No.: 10/812,326))	Art U	Jnit: 2181			
Filed:	March 29, 2004								
For:	METHOD, SYSTEM, AND PROGRAM FOR BUILDING A QUEUE TO TEST A DEVICE								
Sir:									
X Amo	herewith in the above- endment- 13 page additional fee is requir	es.	ication is an:						
The fee has b	een calculated as sho	wn below:							
	Remaining After Amendment		Previously Paid For						Additional Fee
Total Claims	29	Minus	31		=	0	X50	=	\$0
Independent Claims	3	Minus	3		=	0	X200	=	\$0
First Presentation of Multiple Dependant Claim					=		+360 Total	=	\$0 \$0
X to cover X		eby authorized current communder 37 CFR 1	to charge payment	t of or c	the foll redit ar n of ex	owing fees ny overpayn tra claims.	associated with nent to Deposit	this Accou	nt No. 09-
Respectfully	submitted,								
/David V David W. Vi	ctor		Date	d: A	August	15, 2007			
	AYNES & VICTOR, ly Drive, Suite 210 s, CA 90212	LLP	CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR 1.8: I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted through the USPTO EFS-Web system over the Internet to Ernest Unelus on August 15, 2007.						
			/David Victor				Date	8/1	<u>5/07</u>

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s): L.A. DICKENS et al. Examiner Ernest Unelus

Serial No. 10/812,326 Group Art Unit 2187

Filed March 29, 2004 Docket No. TUC920030125US1 TITLE METHOD, SYSTEM, AND PROGRAM FOR BUILDING A QUEUE TO

TEST A DEVICE

CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR 1.8:

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted via the EFS-Web system over the Internet to Ernest Unelus of the United States Patent and Trademark Office on August 15, 2007.

/David Victor/

David W. Victor

RESPONSE TO AFTER FINAL OFFICE ACTION

This paper is submitted in response to a third final office action in the above case dated June 11, 2007 ("FOA3") in which the Examiner rejected all the claims as anticipated (35 U.S.C. §102) or obvious (35 U.S.C. §103) over cited art. During a phone interview held May 16th, Applicants submitted arguments explaining the patentability of the claims over the cited art, which are presented herein. No agreement was reached during the phone interview. The Applicants submit that all pending claims 1-6, 8-17, and 19-31 are patentable over the cited art and in condition for allowance for the reasons discussed herein.

A listing of previously presented claims begins on page 2.

Remarks/Arguments begin on page 8.